

Appendix 2 - Amendments to be actioned to Appendix 1 after the scrutiny version	
Description of change	Reason for change
Appendix 14 replacement	No Appendix 14 contained in previous version
Housing trajectory replacement	To reflect last minute updates
Primary Retail Areas map replacement	To reflect the NPPF changes to the PRA
Equalities Impact assessment changes	Recommendation from EqIA completed after scrutiny version was sent
PARA Y42 -In planning terms there is no difference between the use of a dwelling as a permanent home or as a holiday home unless conditions restricting the use of the property have been imposed on the planning permission. Purpose-built...	Suggestion from enforcement as statement is wrong as established by case law - Moore vs SSCLG and Suffolk Coastal District [2012] EWCA (iv 1202 (2013) JPL 192
Update reference from Oxford to Cambridge Arc to Oxford to Cambridge Expressway in parts of Policy Trans 1a and supporting text	Clarity of definition of Arc and Expressway
EMPLOYMENT - Self-catering definition within employment chapter incorrect - make reference to Moore V SSCLG and Suffolk Coastal District (2012)	To address an enforcement case law decision
Addition of reference to 'air quality' in what the highway interventions will need to mitigate in criterion ix (b) STRAT policy for Chalgrove Airfield	change suggested by barrister
Policy STRAT 8 - Berinsfield: Change VI A - refer to new access to Berinsfield - but don't specify to the north - keep open	Consistency with IDP following further conversations with OCC
Policy STRAT 8 - Berinsfield: Change Criterion I - Chiltern Hills - not chilton hills	Typographical error
Para D.122 (Wheatley NDP) Officers are reviewing the following wording - "Wheatley neighbourhood Plan will make detailed amendments to ensure that the removed land is allocated for mixed use development in their NDP."	Barrister recommendation.
Policy ENV1 (Landscape and Countryside) Amend first sentence of the second paragraph: South Oxfordshire's landscape, countryside and rural areas will be protected against inappropriate <u>harmful</u> development.	Clarification
Policy ENV1 and Para H.12 Move para H.12 into the bottom of the ENV1 policy box.	Change suggested by barrister – clarify that this is a policy requirement.

Policy ENV2 and Para H.17 Move para H.17 into the bottom of ENV2 policy box.	Change suggested by barrister – clarify that this is a policy requirement.
Para H.14 Officers are reviewing the meaning of “wholly exceptional circumstances”	Barrister recommendation.
Policy ENV4 Officers are reviewing requirements related to distances to watercourses to ensure consistency.	Barrister recommendation.
Policy ENV4 and Para H.29 Move para H.29 into the bottom of ENV2 policy box.	Change suggested by barrister – clarify that this is a policy requirement.
Policy ENV7 (Listed buildings) - add 'will be expected to', to end of first policy wording after '...or affecting the setting of a a listed building'	missing words from policy, highlighted by barrister and in line with policy ENV8 text
Policy ENV6 - add apostrophe to 'District's'	typo
policy ENV6 (ii) add '(internal or external to the fabric of the building' after '...ensure that alterations...'	provide clarity to the policy text
Policy ENV 6 (iii) delete '-' after 'and'	typo
Policy STRAT 13 - Grenoble Road Refer to 2x2 form entry primary school only - do not refer to 1x3 form entry primary school	OCC update
D.27: At that time, South Oxfordshire District Council did not sign the Memorandum of Cooperation and proposed that it would plan for a lower amount of unmet need. However, t The Council now considers that it can meet the full 4,950 homes apportioned to South Oxfordshire through this agreement and proposes to monitor this between 1 April 2021 and 31 March 2031 in accordance with the memorandum.	change suggested by barrister
D.75: The tenure mix of housing in berinsfeld is more unbalanced than in other parts of the district, with higher levels of social rent. releasing land for development could <u>will</u> help to rebalance the mix as well as provide further opportunities for employment and service provision; and	change suggested by barrister
D.76: The expansion of Berinsfield is considered acceptable only if it will lead directly to the implementation of the a masterplan for the regeneration of the village and the funding of the entire cost of the regeneration package identified by the council through the community Investment Scheme, including the requirements set out in Policy Strat8.	change suggested by barrister

<p>The following text changes to paragraph 4.33 are required to clarify the strategy for Science Vale:</p> <p>“...A "step change" in travel choices away from car travel towards public transport, cycling and walking with Didcot at the heart of a fully connected Science Vale.</p> <p><u>Didcot’s role as a major rail interchange strengthened, including aspirations for rail services direct from Grove and Wantage.</u></p> <p><u>Didcot Parkway Railway Station and its role as a major rail interchange improved.</u></p> <p>Culham railway station to be improved and transformed into a focal point for the new community</p> <p><u>A new railway station at Grove in the longer term</u></p> <p><u>Improvements to capacity of the rail lines</u></p> <p><u>A cycle strategy for Science Vale that enables people to reliably travel between their homes and their jobs by means other than the private car linking Didcot with the key employment centres at Culham Science Centre, Milton Park and Harwell</u></p> <p><u>Convenient bus services throughout the area</u></p> <p><u>More and better cycling and walking links to encourage reliable, active and healthy travel”</u></p>	<p>Consultation response from OCC.</p>
<p>Policy H4: Sonning Common target should be 108 dwellings (not 1108)</p>	<p>Typo</p>
<p>Appendix 2 - Culham Boundary</p>	<p>Culham Boundary incorrect - contains a previous version - Should contain the version saved here - S:\South\Policy_South\MAPS MAPS MAPS SOUTH\Local Plan mapping 2033\Appendix 2 - Strategic Sites</p>
<p>Appendix 4 - Culham Green Belt Boundary</p>	<p>Culham Boundary incorrect - contains a previous version - Should contain the version saved here - S:\South\Policy_South\MAPS MAPS MAPS SOUTH\Local Plan mapping 2033\Green Belt inset maps</p>
<p>STRAT Policies require re-numbering and re-ordering as per agreed</p>	<p>The STRAT policies have not been re-numbered as per that agreed. The policies map is correct so needs to marry up with this. Re-numbering is saved in the modifications file here - S:\South\Policy_South\Local Plan 2033 (GDPR)\15b Pre-submission (Regulation 19 stage (2))\Modifications\Policy Changes</p>
<p>Green Belt Strategic Policy - PAGE 67 OF SCRUTINY VERSION - Supportive text - The last paragraph of the supportive text to be deleted.</p>	<p>As we are not removing from the Green Belt -</p>

<p>D71 - The Strat7 STRAT 9 site allocation is located adjacent to the Culham brake Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) at the north-east corner of the site. The Culham Brake SSSI is protected due to its national importance as one of the largest populations of the summer snowflake leucojum aestivum. High level assessments suggest that strategic development at Culham is unlikely to have any negative hydrological effect on the Culham brake SSSI, as the SSSI is watered directly by the river Thames. appropriate consideration should be given to the SSSI in developing detailed proposals for this site which should be in compliance with the concept plan below.</p>	<p>change suggested by barrister</p>
<p>POLICY BOX - STRAT 8: CULHAM SCIENCE CENTRE - SECOND PARAGRAPH - In combination with the adjacent strategic allocation (Policy STRAT 7) Policy STRAT 9...</p>	<p>Change suggested by barrister - REORDERING ISSUE - SEE ABOVE</p>
<p>PARA D120 - The exceptional circumstances for the NDP to release the land at Wheatley are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to support the Neighbourhood Development Plan and to ensure that future allocations can be made through the NDP; • Wheatley is a Larger Village and benefits from a number of services and facilities and represents an appropriate location for accommodating additional development; • there are limited alternatives for accommodating additional development at Wheatley other than through Green belt release; • to contribute towards meeting housing needs including affordable housing needs; • to contribute towards the provision of employment land; • to enable the relocation of existing employment uses in Wheatley so that land can be developed for housing; • to enable development to take place to provide for a mix of uses to the benefit of existing and future residents; and • the location of this land is recognised to be positioned between existing residential development to the west and industrial buildings to the east and has limited essential characteristics of the Green belt. 	<p>Change suggested by barrister - to minimise the exceptional circumstances</p>
<p>PARAGRAPH Y21 - In order to meet the diverse need for employment across South Oxfordshire, the policy below encourages requires...</p>	<p>Change suggested by Barrister</p>
<p>Policy EMP2 - POLICY BOX - Proposals for employment use should will provide a range and size of premises, including flexible business space to meet current and future requirements. The Council will encourage support proposals for premises suitable for small and medium sized businesses, including start-up/incubator businesses (up to 150sq.m) and grow-on space (up to 500sq.m). Proposals for employment use will be considered against this criteria and the overall employment distribution strategy at EMP1.</p>	<p>Change suggested by Barrister</p>

POLICY EMP 3 POLICY BOX - second paragraph - In addition to the criteria above, where there is no reasonable prospect of land or premises being used for continued employment use, a mixed use enabling development which incorporates employment space should first be considered. If a mixed-use scheme is not viable, the extent to which the proposed use generates new employment will be considered in determining the relevant planning application.	Changes suggested by Barrister
POLICY BOX EMP10 - SECOND PARAGRAPH - The Council may will require, where appropriate...	Changes suggested by Barrister
POLICY BOX EMP10 - THIRD PARAGRAPH - The CEP should be prepared in partnership with South Oxfordshire District Council, and any other appropriate partners...	Changes suggested by Barrister
POLICY BOX EMP13 - criteria (ii) - delete	Changes suggested by Barrister
POLICY BOX EMP14 - first bullet point - business is no longer viable and has no reasonable prospect of continuing and alternative visitor accommodation businesses have been fully explored;	Changes suggested by Barrister
PARAGRAPH Y52 - BULLET POINT 4 - alternative visitor accommodation business models have been tried explored and discounted;	Changes suggested by Barrister
Policy INF 1- SECOND PARAGRAPH- Planning permission will only be granted for development where infrastructure and services...	Changes suggested by Barrister
Policy Trans 4- SECOND PARAGRAPH, second sentence, Careful Consideration should be given.	Changes suggested by Barrister
Policy Trans 4- LAST PARAGRAPH- In accordance with the guidance, travel plans will be required, implemented and monitored for all developments that will generate significant amounts of movement-i) for all large-scale major developments comprising residential, employment, shopping or leisure uses or services; and ii)f or other small developments comprising residential, employment, shopping, leisure, or education facilities which would generate significant amounts of travel where relevant.	Changes suggested by Barrister
Policy Trans 5: para xiii) provide for the parking of vehicles in accordance with...and xiv) provide facilities to support the take up of electric and/or low-emission vehicles	Changes suggested by Barrister
Policy Trans 7- para iii) the development does not result in serious-and adverse environmental effects on the surrounding area.	Changes suggested by Barrister
INF 3, para iii) Such evidence should accompany any application made to the council	Changes suggested by Barrister

INF 4, first sentence, All development proposals must demonstrate that there are is or will be adequate water supply, surface water, foul drainage and sewage treatment capacity to serve to serve the whole development.	Changes suggested by Barrister
INF 4, third sentence, When there is a capacity constraint and improvements on to off-site infrastructure are not programmed.	Changes suggested by Barrister
The first section of policy H19: Re-use of rural buildings appears to be missing.	Check whether the policy text from page 87 of the publication version of the plan has been missed off.
Re-ordering and renumbering of STRAT Policies and amending the references to those policies	For clarity
Insertion of retail requirements within all site specific allocation policies	This was incomplete in the scrutiny version of the Local Plan
All typos identified and corrected as per barrister's suggestions	Typos listed by barrister in his proof read
All spelling, grammar and references corrections made by editor between 05/12/18 and 10/15/18	Errors found by the editor during their editing process